

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,539	04/20/2004	Stanley L. Mills	362187-991221	3891
26339 05/18/2010 DLA PIPER LLP, (US) 2000 UNIVERSITY AVENUE EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303-2248			EXAMINER	
			LAMPRECHT, JOEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PatentDocketingUS-PaloAlto@dlapiper.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/828,539 MILLS, STANLEY L. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JOEL M. LAMPRECHT 3737 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3-23.25-31.33-42 and 65-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-23,25-31,33-42 and 65-67 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) T Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-16, 21-23, 25-31, 33-42, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater et al (6,066,083) in view of McIntire et al (US 2002/0022781 A1). Slater et al discloses an implantable seed device capable of being inserted into a body cavity for treatment that includes a gas surrounding a radioisotopic component (Col 4 Line 60-Col 5 Line 8, Fig 7-13, Col 7 Line 5-Col 8 Line 45), spacer elements for controlling the components inside of the device (Fig 7-22, Col 9 Line 25-40), parabolic surfaces defining a body chamber (Fig 1-22), gold coating to improve contrast (Fig 17, (Col 8 Line 60-Col 9 Line 15)), metals inside the device (Col 8 Line 60-Col 9 line 15), including shape memory metals (Fig 11, (Col 7 line 60-Col 8 Line 9)), the device has multiple channels or voids (Fig 1-22), and comprises gas bubbles (Fig 21 and 22 (Col 9 line 25-50)).

Regarding claims 26-31, Slater et al does not disclose polymer inclusion into the device. Attention is then directed to the secondary reference to McIntire et al which discloses the inclusion of such polymers for the matrix supporting a radioisotope to be inserted into the body for brachytherapy (0030, 0042, 0056, 0062), including LCPs (0027) and also the use of titanium as a tube forming component (0094). It would have

Art Unit: 3737

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have utilized the materials disclosed in the McIntire et al reference with the design of Slater et al for the purpose of providing materials and designs that allow for enhanced ultrasound visibility (Abs).

Claims 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater et al (6,066,083) in view of McIntire et al (US 2002/0022781 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and in further view of Zappala (US 6,364,855 B1). Slater et al discloses all that is listed above, and includes gas in the seeds, but does not disclose what type of gas is included in the system. Attention is directed to the disclosure of Zappala which includes air filled microspheres for the enhancement of imaging during brachytherapy. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to have utilized such a gas in the device of Slater et al in view of McIntire et al for the purpose of enhancing imaging views of the devices inside the body (Fig 2, Col 3 Line 25-34)

Claims 17-20 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slater et al (6,066,083) in view of McIntire et al (US 2002/0022781 A1) as applied to claim 5 above, and in further view of Yoshizumi (US 6,572,525 B1). McIntire et al discloses in 0032 that anchoring the device in the body is accomplished through roughing portions of the external surface, but does not specifically disclose a "docking guide". Attention is directed to the teaching reference to Yoshizumi which discloses the use of a proximal docking guide that allows acceptance of a radioactive source or spacer element and includes a non-locking port which is flexible (Fig 3, Col 3 Line 40-60, Col 5 Line 1-15 (Specifically reference to Grimm and Horowitz), Col 5 Line 50-Col 6

Art Unit: 3737

Line 30 and Col 7 Line 5-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the teachings of Yoshizumi into those of Slater et al in view of McIntire et al for the purpose of providing a seed/spacer element which is capable of customization in loading and also which allows for increased stability within the patient.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/4/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Slater does not disclose all the elements of claim 1. In particular, Applicant has argued that Slater does not disclose "a parabolic surface defining a body chamber filled with gas and a radioisotopic component inside the body chamber that is surrounded by the gas". Slater clearly shows that both liquid and gases can be used to surround the radioactive elements of the brachytherapy seeds (See fig 7-13 Col 7 Line 5-Col 8 Line 35)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3737

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOEL M. LAMPRECHT whose telephone number is (571)272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML

/BRIAN CASLER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737